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Zurich, 5 December 2013
Disclaimer and cautionary statement

Certain statements in this document are forward-looking statements, including, but not limited to, statements that are predictions of or indicate future events, trends, plans or objectives of Zurich Insurance Group Ltd or the Zurich Insurance Group (the “Group”). Forward-looking statements include statements regarding the Group’s targeted profit, return on equity targets, expenses, pricing conditions, dividend policy and underwriting and claims results, as well as statements regarding the Group’s understanding of general economic, financial and insurance market conditions and expected developments. Undue reliance should not be placed on such statements because, by their nature, they are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties and can be affected by other factors that could cause actual results and plans and objectives of Zurich Insurance Group Ltd or the Group to differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward looking statements (or from past results). Factors such as (i) general economic conditions and competitive factors, particularly in key markets; (ii) the risk of a global economic downturn, in the financial services industries in particular; (iii) performance of financial markets; (iv) levels of interest rates and currency exchange rates; (v) frequency, severity and development of insured claims events; (vi) mortality and morbidity experience; (vii) policy renewal and lapse rates; and (viii) changes in laws and regulations and in the policies of regulators may have a direct bearing on the results of operations of Zurich Insurance Group Ltd and its Group and on whether the targets will be achieved. Zurich Insurance Group Ltd undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any of these forward-looking statements, whether to reflect new information, future events or circumstances or otherwise.

All references to “Farmers Exchanges” mean Farmers Insurance Exchange, Fire Insurance Exchange, Truck Insurance Exchange and their subsidiaries and affiliates. The three Exchanges are California domiciled interinsurance exchanges owned by their policyholders with governance oversight by their Boards of Governors. Farmers Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries are appointed as the attorneys-in-fact for the Farmers Exchanges and in that capacity provide certain non-claims administrative and management services to the Farmers Exchanges. Neither Farmers Group, Inc., nor its parent companies, Zurich Insurance Company Ltd and Zurich Insurance Group Ltd, have any ownership interest in the Farmers Exchanges. Financial information about the Farmers Exchanges is proprietary to the Farmers Exchanges, but is provided to support an understanding of the performance of Farmers Group, Inc. and Farmers Reinsurance Company.

It should be noted that past performance is not a guide to future performance. Please note that interim results are not necessarily indicative of full year results.

Persons requiring advice should consult an independent adviser.

This communication does not constitute an offer or an invitation for the sale or purchase of securities in any jurisdiction.

THIS COMMUNICATION DOES NOT CONTAIN AN OFFER OF SECURITIES FOR SALE IN THE UNITED STATES; SECURITIES MAY NOT BE OFFERED OR SOLD IN THE UNITED STATES ABSENT REGISTRATION OR EXEMPTION FROM REGISTRATION, AND ANY PUBLIC OFFERING OF SECURITIES TO BE MADE IN THE UNITED STATES WILL BE MADE BY MEANS OF A PROSPECTUS THAT MAY BE OBTAINED FROM THE ISSUER AND THAT WILL CONTAIN DETAILED INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANY AND MANAGEMENT, AS WELL AS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09.30</td>
<td>Registration and welcome</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.45 – 09.50</td>
<td>Opening Remarks</td>
<td>Tom de Swaan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.50 – 10.30</td>
<td>Strategy</td>
<td>Martin Senn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.30 – 11.00</td>
<td>Finance Perspective</td>
<td>Vibhu Sharma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.00 – 11.20</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.20 – 12.00</td>
<td>Global Life</td>
<td>Kristof Terryn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.00 – 12.45</td>
<td>Q&amp;A part I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.45 – 13.45</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.45 – 14.35</td>
<td>General Insurance</td>
<td>Mike Kerner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.35 – 15.15</td>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>Jeff Dailey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.15 – 15.30</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.30 – 16.00</td>
<td>Cash and Capital</td>
<td>Vibhu Sharma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.00 – 16.55</td>
<td>Q&amp;A part II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.55 – 17.00</td>
<td>Closing Remarks</td>
<td>Martin Senn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Opening Remarks

Tom de Swaan, Chairman Zurich Insurance Group
Strategy

Martin Senn, CEO Zurich Insurance Group
Building on our strengths as we move into a new phase

- Disciplined investment and focused underwriting have helped us outperform the sector since the credit crisis began.

- We set ambitious targets for 2010 - 2013, some of which we are unlikely to achieve.

- Defensive attraction will not be enough in a world more focused on opportunities.

World GDP forecast (IMF):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Forecasts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2013 Target | Status
---|---
Combined ratio | ✗
Growth in NBV | ✔
Farmers growth | ✗
Cost reduction | ✔
BOPAT ROE | ✗
What we will continue, change and improve

**What will we continue to do**
Underwriting focus and investment discipline will remain unchanged

**What’s new**
Prioritizing investment in distinctive positions and managing other businesses for value

**What will we do better**
Improving operating profitability and growing our operating earnings
Our value proposition to investors is strong…but can be improved

**Investors like…**
- Solid defensive stock
- Strong franchises, especially in General Insurance corporate and commercial
- Farmers business model
- Focus on efficiency and technical discipline
- Solid cash generation and dividend

**Investors question…**
- Positioning in retail markets
- Translating higher life new business value into growth in BOP and Cash
- Farmers growth potential
- Sustainability of high dividend pay-out ratio
Strong balance sheet and solid profitability underpin cash returns

**Strong solvency and profitability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>NIAS (USD billions)</th>
<th>Z-ECM (USD billions)</th>
<th>Growth %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>126%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>136%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>119%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>103%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9m-13</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>121%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Returning ~30% of our current market capitalization in 5 years**

Cumulative paid dividend, in USD billions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NIAS: Net Income Attributable to Shareholders
Z-ECM: Zurich Economic Capital Model

1 Z-ECM as of HY-13
We generate a solid operating ROE, with room to improve

Focus areas

- General Insurance: profitability improved, still lagging local champions
- Global Life: good NBV growth, needs to translate more fully into operating profits and cash
- Farmers: continued strong cash generation, while refocusing the go-to-market strategy

OUR STARTING POINT

BOPAT ROE\(^1\) over US 10 yr swap

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>BOPAT-ROE above risk free adjusted for URGL</th>
<th>US 10 yr swap rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9m-13</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) BOPAT ROE expressed excluding investments unrealised gains and losses in shareholders equity
The global economic environment is improving

Continued emerging markets growth, Mature markets are recovering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Real GDP growth</th>
<th>Emerging markets</th>
<th>Mature markets</th>
<th>World average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crisis 2008 - 2012</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlook 2013 - 2018</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bond yields are beginning to reflect Improving fundamentals

10yr Government bond yields

Source: Datastream, IMF ‘World Economic Outlook’ (October 2013)
Globalization drives the growth of cross border insurance

Ongoing advancement of global trade

Growing regulatory hurdles and protectionist pressures

World exports % of GDP

Protectionist measures implemented by G-20 countries

Number

Source: IMF, Global Trade Alert
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EXTERNAL DRIVERS

Technology creates new opportunities for the insurance industry

Increasing adoption of technology…

Number of subscribers, in billions

- Mobile
- Broadband
- Internet
- World population

… will drive significant change in the coming years

- **Big Data**
  2.5 exabytes (2.5×10^18) of data created daily

- **Cyber risk**
  Annual cost of cybercrime of USD 110bn

- **Cloud**
  36% of data in cloud by 2016

- **Social media**
  1.11bn active Facebook users

- **Telematics**
  Predicted 23% growth by 2016

Source: Forrester, Digital Disruption (November 2013), Gartner (June 2012) IBM (What is big data’?), International Telecommunication Union, TechNavio (August 2013), World Bank (2012), Symantec
Customer needs are changing...

- **Corporate** customers and intermediaries are beginning to request combined life and general insurance solutions in some market segments.

- **Commercial mid-market** decisions are shifting from relationship-driven to more data-driven.

- **Retail** customers are increasingly using digital and mobile channels to engage with insurers on their own terms.

---

**World ranking by revenue**, 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Broker</th>
<th>Employee Benefits</th>
<th>General Insurance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broker 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broker 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broker 3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broker 4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Global peers and brokers**

- Arms race for analytics and data
- Data analytics communicated as key strategic theme
- Significant investments to build capabilities to harness data

**Results from 2013 survey**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Online customers</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-channel customers</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. Source: 150 top brokers directory of Business Insurance, brokers depicted are MMC, Aon, Willis and AJ Gallager
2. Source: Zurich Global consumer survey with 12,249 respondents across 13 countries
**EXTERNAL DRIVERS**

**...creating opportunities we will capitalize on**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunities</th>
<th>Our advantage, as a global and composite insurer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corporate</strong></td>
<td>• Uniquely positioned as a corporate insurer with global reach and composite proposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Balance sheet strength and diversification benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial mid-market</strong></td>
<td>• Strong position in key markets (i.e. US and UK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ability to leverage talent and know-how across the Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retail</strong></td>
<td>• Initiatives launched in select markets where we can build at-scale positions as an international, premium-branded insurer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Increasing advantage from data analytics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Skilled players with depth of capabilities and resources are favored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Segmentation of customers further based on attitudes, values, needs, service orientation and price sensitivities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We are strong in Corporate and Commercial... work to do in Retail

Breakdown of Zurich’s GWP (2011) by market share per country

**Zurich’s market share (2011)**
- Above 5%
- Below 3%
- From 3 to 5%
- Not categorized

**GI-Corporate and Commercial mid-market**
- 100% = USD 24.9bn
  - 7% (11 countries, among which:
    - Australia
    - Germany
    - Switzerland
    - UK
    - US)
  - 2% (11 countries, among which:
    - Argentina
    - Mexico
    - Switzerland)
  - 74% (6 countries, among which:
    - Brazil
    - Ireland
    - Spain
    - Switzerland)

**Life-Total**
- 100% = USD 30.3bn
  - 7% (11 countries, among which:
    - Brazil
    - Ireland
    - Spain
    - Switzerland)
  - 44% (6 countries, among which:
    - Brazil
    - Ireland
    - Spain
    - Switzerland)
  - 37% (6 countries, among which:
    - Brazil
    - Ireland
    - Spain
    - Switzerland)

**GI-Retail**
- 100% = USD 10.4bn
  - 21% (6 countries, among which:
    - Argentina
    - Mexico
    - Switzerland)
  - 32% (6 countries, among which:
    - Argentina
    - Mexico
    - Switzerland)
  - 45% (6 countries, among which:
    - Argentina
    - Mexico
    - Switzerland)

---

1. Excluding Farmers Exchanges, Zurich Santander and Zurich Insurance Malaysia Berhad (ZIMB) market shares based on preliminary 2012
2. GI Corporate and Commercial mid-market refers to overall commercial business and Retail to personal lines only
3. Countries accounting for at least 3% of Zurich’s GWP in the segment
4. Market share calculated for US Commercial, excluding GC portion, assuming mid-market is 66% of the overall US Commercial market

Source: Axco, MarketStance, McKinsey ‘Global Insurance Pools’, Zurich’s internal data and estimates
## Cornerstones of our strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Strategy</th>
<th>Key focus areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Prioritizing investment in distinctive positions</strong></td>
<td>• Corporate&lt;br&gt;• Commercial mid-market&lt;br&gt;• Select retail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Managing other businesses for value</strong></td>
<td>• Extract value from Global Life back books&lt;br&gt;• Continue to capture value from profitable, smaller General Insurance markets&lt;br&gt;• Turnaround/exit non-performing businesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Growing our operating earnings</strong></td>
<td>• Efficiency&lt;br&gt;• Value extraction&lt;br&gt;• Investment risk return</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Prioritizing investment in distinctive positions

**Target customer segments**

**Corporate**
- Increase cross sell and commonality
- Align footprint
- Integrate offerings

**Commercial mid-market**
- Promote predictive analytics
- Simplify operational landscape
- Improve broker value proposition

**Retail (select markets)**
- Increase product-density
- Improve customer experience
- Foster Omni-channel service model
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Managing other businesses for value

- Two thirds of our capital is allocated to priority markets and manage for value “as-is” markets
- One third of our capital is allocated to our other manage for value businesses
  - We will maximise the value in certain key life back books
  - We will turnaround or exit non performing businesses

Group Portfolio Review

- BOPAT ROE: 5%
  - 33% of Z-ECM
- BOPAT ROE: 13%
  - 5% of Z-ECM
  - 62% of Z-ECM

BOPAT ROE based on 2010-2012 averages
Z-ECM for Zurich Economic Capital Model, based on 2012 data

5 December 2013
# Growing our operating earnings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Efficiency</th>
<th>Reducing complexity and overhead burdens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value extraction</td>
<td>Continuing operational transformation to extract more value from our business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Risk Return</td>
<td>Enhancing excess investment returns through specific initiatives while modestly increasing risk in a balanced way</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NEW TARGETS

Delivering an attractive total return to our investors

Zurich’s targets for 2014 - 2016

Improving return on equity

BOPAT ROE target 12 - 14%1

Maintaining a very strong capital position

Z-ECM ratio 100 - 120%

Generating high levels of free cash flow

Net cash remittances to Group2 > USD 9bn

Plus Report cards providing proof points on execution of our strategy

---

1 Excluding unrealised gains and losses
2 Cumulative net cash remittances to Zurich Insurance Company Ltd, after deducting central costs, in 2014-2016
## THE STORY OF THE DAY

### Story of the day

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Key topics</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Finance perspective</strong></td>
<td>Evaluating execution of our 2013 strategic targets</td>
<td>Vibhu Sharma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Outputs of the portfolio review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New profitability targets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Global Life</strong></td>
<td>Building on bank distribution and CLP success</td>
<td>Kristof Terryn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Better back book and expense management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improving our BOP and cash generation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Insurance</strong></td>
<td>Execution on customer focus priorities in key markets</td>
<td>Mike Kerner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Building on success in Corporate and Commercial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technical excellence and expense management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Farmers</strong></td>
<td>New go-to-market strategy</td>
<td>Jeff Dailey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reducing reinsurance support to Farmers Exchanges</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash and capital</strong></td>
<td>Balance sheet strength, cash and capital flexibility</td>
<td>Vibhu Sharma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improving operating free capital generation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strong cash remittance track record</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What we will continue, change and improve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What will we continue to do</th>
<th>Underwriting focus and investment discipline will remain unchanged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What’s new</td>
<td>Prioritizing investment in distinctive positions and managing other businesses for value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What will we do better</td>
<td>Improving operating profitability and growing our operating earnings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Finance Perspective

Vibhu Sharma, interim CFO Zurich Insurance Group
Group strategy
Finance perspective

- Continue to generate good returns from underwriting capabilities

- Group Portfolio Review drives a more focused and differentiated strategy

- Tangible plans in place to grow our earnings and improve our ROE

- New targets and report card focused on delivering attractive total shareholder returns

5 December 2013
Our 2010 - 2013 strategic targets

**General Insurance**
Improve Combined Ratio by 3 - 4 pts relative to global competitors and hold market position

**Global Life**
Rank Top 5 of European-based global peers by New Business Value

**Farmers**
Maintain top tier market share growth in U.S. Personal Lines

- **BOPAT-ROE of 16% strategic ambition**
- **Run rate cost reduction of USD 500m in mature markets**
- **Delivering attractive Total Shareholder Return**

1 13% to 15% BOPAT ROE referenced as the mid target in Dec 2012

© Zurich Insurance Company Ltd
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In General Insurance, we did not achieve our relative target...

Combined ratio (in % NEP)
as reported, calendar year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>9m-13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIG</td>
<td>116.8%</td>
<td>100.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generali</td>
<td>98.8%</td>
<td>95.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AXA¹</td>
<td>99.3%</td>
<td>95.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allianz</td>
<td>97.2%</td>
<td>95.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zurich GI</td>
<td>97.9%</td>
<td>95.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Achievements

- We improved our combined ratio by 2.6 percentage points
- Our global peers have shown comparable improvements

¹ AXA includes AXA Corporate Solutions and P&C; 2013 figure as of HY-13
Source: Publicly available disclosure
...but we made significant progress in improving our profitability

**Achievements**

- AY loss ratio improved by over 5 percentage points even including a slightly higher impact from weather and large industrial losses
- PYD has reduced from exceptional 2010 level but still a strong result
- Our expense ratio in mature markets improved by roughly 1 percentage point

---

1 Accident year loss ratio excl. prior year reserve releases/increases
We have grown our new business value in Global Life

### New Business Value, in USD millions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>9m-13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prudential</td>
<td>1,770</td>
<td>2,118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generali</td>
<td>1,572</td>
<td>AXA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AXA</td>
<td>1,551</td>
<td>Aegon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEGON</td>
<td>1,069</td>
<td>Zurich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allianz</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>Generali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aviva</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>Aviva¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zurich</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>629</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Estimated NBV net of tax and non-controlling interest based on published half-year results

Notes: Converted at average exchange rates; 2009 figures as presented alongside our “top 5” ambition

Source: Company data, Zurich

---

### Achievements

- We have grown new business value organically in all regions except Europe
- Zurich Santander makes us a leading international insurer in Latin America
- Good organic growth in emerging markets
- Margins have improved as a result of our product focus
Farmers focus turned to improve the profitability of the overall book

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Carrier</th>
<th>2012 Total Personal Lines market share</th>
<th>2-year trend 2010 - 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>StateFarm</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>0.5 ▼</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Allstate</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>0.6 ▼</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Geico</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>0.7 ▲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>0.2 ▼</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Progressive</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>0.3 ▲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Liberty Mutual</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>0.4 ▲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>USAA</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>0.5 ▲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Nationwide</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>0.3 ▼</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Travelers</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>0.2 ▼</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>American Family</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>0.2 ▼</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>The Hartford</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>0.2 ▼</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Erie Insurance</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>0.1 ▲</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Achievements

- Farmers took rate action in 2012 based upon several years of significant weather losses and a declining investment environment. In addition, Farmers took action to reposition several books of under performing business.
- Rate driven GWP growth of 4% in 2012 turned into a decline of 1.4% at 9m-13 as new business and retention levels declined.
- Combined ratio improved by 4.3pts at 9m-13 vs same period last year even with higher catastrophe losses.
On track to deliver savings in excess of the USD 500m target

2010 - 2013 savings in mature markets

- Streamlined processes and organisation of Operational and non-market facing functions in Europe and North America
- Implemented a large scale procurement transformation to reduce cost base
- Executed real estate consolidation across Europe and North America
- Benefits from two of the largest outsourcing deals in the insurance industry

2010 - 2013 TARGETS

Achievements
Ambitious BOPAT ROE target has proved challenging...

- Shortfall against 2010-2013 plan in part due to the further decline in yields compared to plan assumptions (~1 percentage point)
- Remainder of the difference is due to a combination of higher catastrophe losses, particularly in relation to 2011 and 2012...
- ...and we also did not fully live up to our expectations
...but we generate significant value from our underwriting capabilities

2010 - 2013 TARGETS

BOPAT ROE excluding URGL\(^1\) over US 10 yr swap

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>BOPAT-ROE above risk free adjusted for URGL(^1)</th>
<th>US 10 yr swap rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9m-13</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key influences

- Our return over risk free has remained in the 8% to 10% range, excluding unrealised gains, even with high catastrophe losses
- This demonstrates that our strategy was the right one...
- ...and that we continue to generate significant value from our underwriting capabilities...
- ...rather than from taking outsize asset risks

\(^1\) Unrealised gains and losses in shareholders equity
# Building on the last three years: key takeaways from 2010 - 2013

1. We continue to deliver attractive returns, driven by technical and underwriting discipline

2. We deliver sustainable underwriting profitability in GI with room to improve further

3. We now want to translate our GL growth into higher cash and BOP

4. We continue to generate significant cash profits from FMS, while the “go-to-market” strategy of the Farmers Exchanges is implemented

…plus need to show a clear set of metrics as proof points for execution of our strategy
Drivers of GPR\(^1\) decisions

- We have conducted an in-depth review of all our major business units and categorized them based on a financial and strategic evaluation.

- Financial KPIs include BOPAT ROE and economic value metrics, on a historical and prospective basis, built on our plans.

- Strategic analysis based on market characteristics and our positioning.
PORTFOLIO REVIEW

Results from Global Portfolio Review

Number of business units, by GPR\(^1\) grouping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic Assessment</th>
<th>Financial Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid</td>
<td>Mid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **19 priority markets**
- **6 maximize value markets**
- **11 continue “as is” markets**
- **9 turnaround or exit markets**

**Priority markets**
- Markets with critical market share and high returns
- Markets with high profitable growth potential

**Manage for value**
- Maximise value: screen well on RBRM\(^2\), less so on BOPAT ROE
- Continue as is: solid performers, but not key strategic assets
- Turnaround or exit: low strategic priorities, weaker financial performance

---

\(^1\) Global Portfolio Review
\(^2\) Risk based return measure
Growing our operating earnings

Key objectives

General Insurance
- Investing selectively in growth in priority markets
- Improved combined ratio, more than offsetting lower investment income

Global Life
- Growth in technical margins exceeding decline in the investment margin
- Expense efficiencies, more focused investment

Farmers
- Higher quality and less volatile earnings
- Strengthening of Farmers Exchanges balance sheet allowing a reduction of quota share reinsurance

...new strategic direction likely to lead to some restructuring and other charges over the next 12 months, estimated at USD 400m - USD 600m
BOPAT ROE is our key target

We will measure our BOPAT ROE based on Shareholder Equity excluding Unrealized gains and losses. Operating improvement initiatives more than offset headwind from continued decline in investment income. BOPAT ROE target includes cost of targeted investments in priority markets that are expected to have a positive impact on BOP within 3 to 4 years.
## 2014 - 2016 STRATEGY

**Report card – to be used at a group level and by each segment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Investments in priority markets</td>
<td>• Key strategic developments and initiatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Customer proof points and metrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Growth in market share and margin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Managing for value</td>
<td>• Tangible proof points on managing these businesses to rigorous hurdles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Examples of portfolio actions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Growing operating earnings</td>
<td>• Segment initiatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Margin development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Expense management initiatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Investment performance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Group strategy
Finance perspective

• Continue to generate good returns from underwriting capabilities

• Group Portfolio Review drives a more focused and differentiated strategy

• Tangible plans in place to grow our earnings and improve our ROE

• New targets and report card focused on delivering attractive total shareholder returns
Global Life

Kristof Terryn, CEO Global Life
Key messages

- The need for life insurance is strong and we are well positioned
- We have a track record of successful growth
- Over the next cycle, we will shift our focus towards more targeted growth while managing for value or turnaround / exit businesses where appropriate
- We will use our global capabilities to optimize our in-force business

Growth in operating profit and cash remittance
The need for Life insurance is greater than ever

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Government Retrenchment</th>
<th>Corporate Retrenchment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑️ Austerity measures</td>
<td>☑️ Reducing benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑️ Funding gap</td>
<td>☑️ Shift from defined benefit to defined contribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑️ Fiscal prudence</td>
<td>☑️ New business entrants re-evaluating benefit options</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In a challenging environment, Zurich is well positioned to meet the needs of our customers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Widening Protection Gap</th>
<th>Ageing Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑️ Funding gap</td>
<td>☑️ Longevity improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑️ Mortality gap growing</td>
<td>☑️ Upside down age pyramids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑️ Longevity improvements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Swiss Re / Sigma; Milliman; United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs
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We have a track record of successful growth

### Target

1. 30% of NBV from APME\(^1\) and Latin America

2. Top 5 European-based global insurer by New Business Value (NBV)

3. Cash generative while self-funding organic growth

### Status

- 48% of NBV generated from APME and Latin America as of 9m-13
- 4th in defined peer group as of 9m-13
- Cash remittance well over USD 500 million per year

---

\(^1\) Asia-Pacific and Middle East
INTRODUCTION

Meeting our customers’ needs through protection and unit-linked savings

2010 APE Product Mix

100% = USD 3,699m

- Corporate Life Other: 20%
- Corporate Life Protection: 5%
- Individual Protection: 11%
- Unit/Index Linked: 15%
- Individual Savings: 9%
- Individual Annuity and Other: 7%

2012 APE Product Mix (incl. Zurich Santander)

100% = USD 4,767m

- Protection, Unit Linked, fee-based and corporate business: 89%
- ZSIA: 22%
- Individual Protection: 15%
- Unit/Index Linked: 4%
- Individual Savings: 32%
- Individual Annuity and Other: 20%
Decreased management expenses in mature markets

Management Expense\(^1\), in USD millions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mature Markets</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,865</td>
<td>1,805</td>
<td>1,876</td>
<td>1,746</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{-119}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emerging Markets</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>378</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>707</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,243</td>
<td>2,256</td>
<td>2,503</td>
<td>2,453</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Estimated expenses, 2013 at constant FX
INTRODUCTION

Overall BOP impacted by lower investment yields

in USD millions

1. Growth in Risk Margin
   - 2009: 596
   - 2010: 617
   - 2011: 762
   - 2012: 874
   - CAGR: +14%

2. Growth in Fee Income
   - 2009: 3,095
   - 2010: 3,261
   - 2011: 3,547
   - 2012: 3,483
   - CAGR: +4%

3. Reduction in Investment Margin, Increased Growth Investments, and Special Operating Items
   - 2009: 1,059
   - 2010: 831
   - 2011: 776
   - 2012: 579
   - CAGR: -18%

4. BOP has declined
   - 2009: 1,477
   - 2010: 1,474
   - 2011: 1,353
   - 2012: 1,338
   - CAGR: -3%

1 Includes Zurich Santander net result (USD 105m), primarily risk margin net of expenses
2 Investment Margin net of German local statutory impacts

© Zurich Insurance Company Ltd
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## Cornerstones of our strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Strategy</th>
<th>What does it mean for Global Life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Prioritizing investment in distinctive positions</td>
<td>• Focusing on two global businesses – Bank Distribution and Corporate Life &amp; Pension – and Targeted Local Opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Managing other businesses for value</td>
<td>• Building global capabilities for In-force Management and turnaround / exiting businesses where appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Growing our operating earnings</td>
<td>• Continuing strong cash remittance and focus on products with shorter paybacks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Focus on a select number of growth opportunities**

**Serving the needs of our customers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions</th>
<th>Global Capabilities</th>
<th>Targeted Local Opportunities, e.g.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia-Pacific Middle East</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Committed to our people, our shareholders, and the communities in which we operate**
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PRIORITIZING INVESTMENTS

Bank Distribution\(^1\), in Europe, Latin America and Asia-Pacific/Middle East

\(^1\) Selected bank distribution agreements only
Zurich Santander delivers strong growth in local and IFRS profit

Key Messages

1. Growth in Life GWP & Insurance Deposits
   - 9m-12 USDm: 2,630
   - 9m-13 USDm: 2,840
   - Increase: +8%

2. Growth in Life local statutory profits
   - 9m-12 USDm: 251
   - 9m-13 USDm: 320
   - Increase: +27%

3. Growth in GL BOP
   - 9m-12 USDm: 71
   - 9m-13 USDm: 130
   - Increase: +83%

---

1. Zurich Santander before minority interests, FX adjusted to remove impact of currency fluctuations
2. Indicative BOP after minority interest at actual FX, Q3-12 and Q4-12 BOP were reported as a total in Q4-12
PRIORITIZING INVESTMENTS

CLP composite go-to-market approach and geographic expansion

Past delivery – GC/CLP Cross-sell of new business, APE in USD millions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>9m-13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Future opportunity – Territories covered by GC and CLP

- Joint presence of CLP and GC in 20 territories
- GC presence only in an additional 42 territories
In the UK, we are growing corporate protection and corporate pensions

UK Life and Pensions market overview

Zurich market share in UK Corporate new business

Source: Association of British Insurers

Source: Zurich internal estimates
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Targeted local opportunities

**US**
- Continue to expand distribution partnerships with Brokerage General Agencies
- Establish relationships with Private Placement Life Insurance expert independent brokers

**UK**
- Drive adviser adoption of the Retail Platform and grow retail protection
- Add easy-to-understand savings and income propositions to Corporate Savings Platform

**Brazil**
- Leverage global relations with multinational clients and global EBCs
- Grow affinity schemes / wholesale distribution

**Indonesia**
- Grow and develop the agency network
- Maximize value of bank distribution agreement with Mayapada

© Zurich Insurance Company Ltd
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Focus on selected growth, while managing for value, and turnaround

**Business Classification**

- **Turnaround**
  - Underperforming businesses or weak markets
- **Manage for Value**
  - Mature operations with a solid position, funding growth
- **Focused Growth**
  - Businesses / markets with strong competitive position

**Key Performance Indicators by business classifications, 2012, USD m**

- **APE**
  - 4,767
  - 73%
  - 27%
- **NBV**
  - 1,085
  - 68%
  - 32%
- **BOP**
  - 1'338
  - 60%
  - 40%

1 Split before allocation of GL global management team expenses
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Specific local actions include

**Hong Kong**
- Stop offering retail Life products and services through the Tied Agents channel from Dec. 31, 2013
- Increasing our focus on the IFA business where we are a top three player
- Put closed businesses in run-off

**In-force management focusing on largest in-force books**
We have a clear understanding of the value of our in-force books

- Zurich’s in-force books have a value of USD 11.4bn of which the top 4 account for USD 7.9bn
- We have a proven dedicated in-force management model in the UK

Global model with a strong emphasis on targeted actions
We have successfully developed in-force capabilities in the UK

**Organizational structure of UK Life business**

- UK Life
- Corporate
- Retail
- In-force

**Benefits of dedicated in-force management**

- **Clear focus of in-force unit on BOP delivery** (separation also facilitates BOP transparency for new business)
- **Granular and structured insights into the economics** of the business and **on the components of BOP** (at a product and platform level) which allows identification of improvement potential
- **Clarity on roles, mandates and management responsibilities**
- **Mitigation of risk** as increased transparency improves ability to spot potential risks earlier, e.g., volatile claims pattern on specific product line

- Management by specific product categories and platforms within in-force
- Profitability analysis and reporting by product category and platform
- Persistency analysis (by product type, by channel, by distributor, etc.)
- Focus of Customer Options Team on target customer groups
Focused growth and in-force management drive operating earnings

**Grow our total revenues**
- Focused growth in Bank Distribution, CLP and targeted local opportunities
- Improved persistency resulting from lapse management
- Greater cross-sell/up-sell and recapture of maturity benefits

**Manage down our new business costs**
- Re-focused new business activity in selected markets
- Selective product and distribution decommissioning

**Manage down our operating costs**
- Delivering strategic platforms in Latin America and North America
- Selective decommissioning
- Service and expense management
We will revisit our operating model, which will have financial implications.

Levers to increase BOP by 2016

- Refocus business portfolio
- Optimize in-force books
- Ongoing expense measures
- Operational model and platform strategy

Expected financial impact in the next 3 quarters

BOP growth
Global Life in-force portfolio cash generation capability

### In-force cash generation capability, in USD billions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Emerging markets</th>
<th>Mature markets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Excluding in-force management actions

**Cash generation capability 2013-2018**

- The reduction of in-force cash generation capability reflects the shorter paybacks of Zurich Santander.
- Mature European and North American in-force books have a more stable base of long-term cash generation capability.
Product focus provides shorter payback but higher new business strain

- **Protection**
  - IRR 16%
  - Payback 4 years

- **Unit Linked**
  - IRR 12%
  - Payback 7 years

- **Traditional**
  - IRR 6%
  - Payback 12 years

- **Growth in protection** leads to high new business investments, but attractive returns and short paybacks
- **Unit-linked** will deliver solid and stable profits with medium-term paybacks
- **De-emphasis of traditional business** provides additional investment capacity to write target products
GROWING OPERATING EARNINGS

The actions we take should positively impact cash remittance

2011 - 2013
We have exceeded the run rate in prior years through management actions

2014 - 2016
Shift of product mix and funding of protection keeps cash remittance capability stable

2017 Onwards
New business strategy is expected to reach an inflection point 2016

USD 0.5 billions

© Zurich Insurance Company Ltd
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Key messages

- The need for life insurance is strong and we are well positioned

- We have a track record of successful growth

- Over the next cycle, we will shift our focus towards more targeted growth while managing for value or turnaround / exit businesses where appropriate

- We will use our global capabilities to optimize our in-force business

Growth in operating profit and cash remittance
PRIORITIZING INVESTMENTS

Bank Distribution\(^1\), in Europe, Latin America and Asia-Pacific/Middle East

- Access to almost 60 millions customers, of which there are 14 millions in Europe, 43 millions in Latin America and over 1 millions in the Middle East
- Successful management and extension our exclusive / multinational distribution agreements over the last 3 years, e.g.,
  - Joint Venture with Banco Santander
  - Extension of the exclusive distribution agreement with Deutsche Bank in 2012
  - New exclusive distribution agreement with HSBC in the Middle East

\(^1\) Selected bank distribution agreements only
General Insurance

Mike Kerner, CEO General Insurance
## Key messages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Strategy</th>
<th>What does it mean for General Insurance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1</strong> Prioritizing investment in distinctive positions</td>
<td>• Investing in growth in markets where we enjoy clear competitive advantages, while focusing on customer value, data and analytics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2</strong> Managing other businesses for value</td>
<td>• Managing other businesses to achieve hurdle BOPAT ROEs, and turnaround or exit non-performing businesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3</strong> Growing our operating earnings</td>
<td>• Growing profits, while further strengthening our foundation of technical excellence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We continue to focus on improving our Combined Ratio

**Combined Ratio**
in % of net earned premiums, as reported

- 2010: 97.9%
- 2011: 98.9%
- 2012: 98.4%
- 9m-13: 95.3%

**Accident Year Loss Ratio**
in % of net earned premiums, excl. prior year developm.

- 2010: 75.8%
- 2011: 76.2%
- 2012: 72.3%
- 9m-13: 70.7%

- Over the past three years, our Combined Ratio improved by 2.6 percentage points.
- Our Accident Year Loss Ratio improved by 5.1 percentage points over the same period.
- We have delivered on our USD 350m expense savings target for the 2011 – 2013 strategic period.
INTRODUCTION

We are a truly global general insurance business

Note
- Regional figures include Global Corporate business and are as of 2012; growth rates are as of 9m-13
- The blue dot in China represents the Zurich office in Beijing; in China, contracts are issued by both Zurich and distribution partners
Three Zurich GI differentiators

1. We leverage best practice from across the globe to aid local execution

2. We assist customers in achieving superior risk management through distinctive claims and risk engineering services

3. We are – first and foremost – an underwriting organization, with globally consistent tools and processes
We are a world class underwriting organization

- Execute globally
- Embed global best practice
- Improve quality and consistency
Our prior year development has been continuously favorable since 2006

Prior year development on Group’s reserves\(^1\) for losses and loss adjustment expenses in USD millions

\(^1\) includes General Insurance, Farmers Re and Non-Core businesses
Our net loss reserves reflect a diversified portfolio

- Total GI Net Loss Reserves USD 55bn as of September 30, 2013
- Although we operate globally, almost 90% of our total reserves come from nine countries
- In the aggregate, reserves have been set at a prudent level
Ratios of paid to ultimate losses demonstrate reserving consistency

### Development of paid-losses/ultimate-losses ratio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>12 months</th>
<th>24 months</th>
<th>36 months</th>
<th>48 months</th>
<th>60 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Ratio of paid-losses to ultimate-losses shows losses paid out during a given accident year, divided by the ultimate incurred losses during that accident year, expressed here as a percentage.

### Key takeaways

- Overall consistent reserving approach over time
- Demonstrated by accident years developing in a consistent fashion over time
- Stability facilitated by being a Group operating across many countries and many lines of business
INTRODUCTION

Development of paid to ultimate losses in our largest businesses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GI Region</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>12 months</th>
<th>24 months</th>
<th>36 months</th>
<th>48 months</th>
<th>60 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Corporate</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America Commercial</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key takeaways

- This regional view highlights the positive impact of our diversified portfolio
- Even at this more granular level, our reserves show a consistent approach over time

1 Ratio of paid-losses to ultimate-losses shows losses paid out during a given accident year, divided by the ultimate incurred losses during that accident year, expressed here as a percentage
Zurich US\(^1\) reserves are well-positioned, compared to industry

**All lines combined**
Reserves-to-ultimate loss, accident year, in %

Net as of 31 December 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Zurich US</th>
<th>Industry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Workers compensation only**
Reserves-to-ultimate loss, accident year, in %

Net as of 31 December 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Zurich US</th>
<th>Industry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Industry results reflect the entire industry with line ratios weighted by Zurich distributions

\(^1\) includes North America Commercial and Global Corporate in North America, but not our Canada operations
INTRODUCTION

We actively track external events and trends in our operating environment

1. Evolving nature of globalization
2. Ubiquity of data and accessible analytics
3. Retail revolution and individual empowerment
4. Climate change and increasing urbanization

In a challenging environment, Zurich is well positioned to meet customer needs.
### 1. Evolving nature of globalization

#### Dimensions of trend
- Increased cross-border business
- Free flow of alternative capital
- Regulatory complexity

#### Zurich response
- Efficiently extend multinational insurance solution to smaller businesses
- Broader, deeper value proposition: market-leading underwriters, in-house claims and risk engineering experts
- SST\(^1\) and organizational preparedness for Solvency II

---

\(^1\) Swiss Solvency Test
INTRODUCTION

2. Ubiquity of data and accessible analytics

Dimensions of trend

- Use of data to drive pricing, risk selection, claims and sales insights
- Use of legacy, unstructured data
- Data velocity – shortening gap between insights and action

Zurich response

- Investments in data analytics in key parts of the insurance value chain
- Data mining of legacy systems through working with best-in-class vendors
- Culture and systems to enable easier, quicker collaboration
INTRODUCTION

3. Retail revolution and individual empowerment

Dimensions of trend

- Customer interaction on their own terms, on-the-go and through multiple channels
- Transparent and real-time responses to financial needs

Zurich response

- Investments in systems and organizational changes, extending to intermediaries and distribution partners, to provide a seamless customer experience across all touch points
- Targeting specific customer sub-segments, based on nuanced segmentation
4. Climate change and increasing urbanization

**Dimensions of trend**

- Increasing frequency and severity of natural catastrophes
- Economically disadvantaged groups have particularly lower resilience to natural catastrophes
- Higher concentration of risk

**Zurich response**

- Risk engineering expertise, both general and specific; reflect in risk selection and pricing
- Risk education, also through knowledge contribution to Group’s Flood Resilience program
- Spread risks globally through capital markets
Active Portfolio Management – prioritizing investment in distinctive positions

Strategic management of portfolio

- Evaluation of our business, based on past-performance and our view of prospects, led us to divide our portfolio into two categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Invest in focus markets(^1)</th>
<th>Manage for value the remaining markets(^1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Invest</strong></td>
<td><strong>Manage to hurdle BOPAT ROE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Businesses where we have a</td>
<td>- Profitable, relatively smaller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>competitive advantage, or</td>
<td>business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>which have longer-term strategic value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- We will make investments in</td>
<td>- We remain committed to these</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>these markets(^1) to grow</td>
<td>markets(^1), and will continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to capture value from these</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>businesses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Turnaround or exit</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Businesses that are low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>performers, not meeting our</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>targets, requiring definitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>action plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- We will take deliberate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measures to turnaround these</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>businesses, divesting and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exiting where appropriate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Markets, in this context, need not always refer to whole countries
Execution on our customer-focus priorities is well underway

### Executing across our six customer-focus priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Listen and act upon customer insights</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer service / service expectations</td>
<td>• In-market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer scorecard</td>
<td>implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment specific propositions</td>
<td>through 17 pilot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single customer view / customer analytics</td>
<td>programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentives and culture</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Scale and deploy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>customer-focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>globally</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Drive profitable growth by focusing on customers**
Advocate customers translate into more and sustainable business

Value of focusing on customers – Retail Example

Average annual GI net premium by business in force (in EUR, by NPS\(^1\) type)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Detractors</th>
<th>Passives</th>
<th>Promoters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>974</td>
<td>1,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Probability to leave Zurich within the next 12 months (in %, by NPS\(^1\) type)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Detractors</th>
<th>Passives</th>
<th>Promoters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cumulative number of referrals within the last 12 months (by NPS\(^1\) type)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Detractors</th>
<th>Passives</th>
<th>Promoters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>-39</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Customer focus to show through clearly and sustainably in our top-line

Source: Externally supported study of Zurich Germany Personal Lines, N=994 policyholders (377 Detractors, 344 Passives, 273 Promoters)

\(^1\) Net promoter score
Global Corporate’s success is based on customer focus

Tangible results...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gross written premium in USD billions</th>
<th>Customer retention in %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010: 7.6</td>
<td>86.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011: 7.9</td>
<td>87.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012: 8.6</td>
<td>87.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9m-13: 9.0</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...driven by global capabilities

- **Largest international network** with 206 territories and 3’000 people
- **Risk Engineering Insights & Benchmark** enhancing our customers’ risk management
- **Multinational Insurance Application (MIA)** helping customers through complex and changing regulatory environments
- **Zurich Risk Room** helping companies make risk-aware decisions across borders
- **Customer First Culture** – composite approach, e.g. Corporate life and pensions
Becoming a learning organization is based upon three elements:

- **Customers**: Understanding and delivering on their true needs and expectations.
- **Data**: Obtaining valuable and actionable insights.
- **Employees**: Fostering exchange of knowledge and best practices.

To create a sustainable competitive advantage.
Leveraging predictive analytics is key to North America Commercial’s sustainable success

**Ambition**

“...to consistently deliver distinctive risk insights, through a compelling value proposition to our customers and brokers, delivered through a simple Zurich Way of doing business”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Avenues of action</th>
<th>Accomplishments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Improved consistency and technical execution through enhanced transparency</td>
<td>• Technical Price calibration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Build predictive models and risk selection pricing tools to use data to deliver unique underwriting insights on the front-line</td>
<td>• PATH (Pricing Activity Tracking Hub) tool rolled out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Second generation Workers Compensation model – better insights and implementation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 December 2013
In Australia, we rolled out a new capability in the middle market to provide a more consistent approach and quicker turnaround to brokers.

This has helped us to grow total GWP through increasing New Business and Retention Rate, while not compromising on profitability.

Zurich named ‘General Insurer of the Year’, by Australia’s National Insurance Brokers Association (NIBA).

---

1 Small and mid-sized enterprises
2 Xpress refers to the Zstream Xpress Platform that supports fast flow business
We will respond to customer needs in chosen retail markets

Redefinition of our go-to-market strategy to deliver superior value to our target customer sub-segments

- Higher service levels at key “moments of truth”
- Innovative and modular propositions
- Significant investment in brand

Extensive research towards deeper understanding of needs and behaviours of retail customers
PRIORITIZING INVESTMENTS

We continue to invest in growing our share of Latin American markets

Latin America
Gross written premium in USD millions, growth in %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>GWP</th>
<th>Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1,376</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1,693</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2,682</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9m-13 growth is +20%

Brazil
Gross written premium in USD millions, share in %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>GWP</th>
<th>Market share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: SUSEP Brazil

5 December 2013
Active Portfolio Management – manage to hurdle ROE, or turnaround, exiting if needed

Strategic management of portfolio

- Evaluation of our business, based on past-performance and our view of prospects, led us to divide our portfolio into two categories

Invest in focus markets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Invest</th>
<th>Manage for value the remaining markets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Businesses where we have a competitive advantage, or which have longer-term strategic value</td>
<td>• Profitable, relatively smaller business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• We will make investments in these markets to grow</td>
<td>• We remain committed to these markets, and will continue to capture value from these businesses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Manage to hurdle BOPAT ROE

- Businesses that are low performers, not meeting our targets, requiring definitive action plans

Turnaround or exit

- We will take deliberate measures to turnaround these businesses, divesting and exiting where appropriate

Markets, in this context, need not always refer to whole countries
Portfolio optimization drives growth in best performing portfolios

- Portfolios are managed according to profitability metrics and actions taken to move business from box 1 towards box 9.

- Net earned premium (NEP) in box 1 decreased by 11 percentage points (USD - 3.2bn) between 2011 and 2013\(^3\).

- Combined NEP in boxes 6, 8 and 9 increased by 2 percentage points (USD +0.7bn) between 2011 and 2013\(^3\).

---

1 Internal Zurich measure of economic profitability of new business written or earned in a given accident year (typically made at a granular, portfolio level), against the risk taken in underwriting that business, and expressed as a percentage.
2 Underwriting.
3 As of HY-13.
Tiering execution ensures that rerating action improves the portfolio

**Rate change**
Example portfolio, in %, 9m-13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 1</th>
<th>Tier 2</th>
<th>Tier 3</th>
<th>Tier 4</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plan Rate</td>
<td>Actual Rate</td>
<td>Plan Rate</td>
<td>Actual Rate</td>
<td>Plan Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Retention rate**
Example portfolio, in %, 9m-13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 1</th>
<th>Tier 2</th>
<th>Tier 3</th>
<th>Tier 4</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plan Retention</td>
<td>Actual Retention</td>
<td>Plan Retention</td>
<td>Actual Retention</td>
<td>Plan Retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Tiers on the left are relatively more profitable than tiers to the right, with Tier 1 representing the most profitable part of the portfolio.

1 The Zurich Rate Change Monitor expresses the GWP development due to premium rate change as a percentage of the renewed portfolio against a comparable prior period. In this slide, the periods shown for 2013 are compared with the same periods of 2012.

2 Adjusted for change in underlying risk (CiUR)
Our strengthened claims foundation is contributing to profitability

Leveraging internal expertise to deliver improvement initiatives

- Improvement opportunities in technical claims handling identified, resulting in a tailored initiative plan per country

Timeline: On-site country reviews

2011

2012

2013 Benefits contribution will start in Q1 2014

Financial impact of initiatives in USD millions

- Financial benefits quantified through measuring reduction in average cost per claim, including inflation effects

Note: This claims diagnostic program excludes Zurich North America

1 As of August 2013

© Zurich Insurance Company Ltd
We are actively tracking our Large Loss experience

- From 2010 to 2013 our large losses have increased the combined ratio by 1.1% points.
- Small catastrophes and weather losses have increased slightly but this is inline with global industry experience.
- Key driver of the increase in individual large losses is the change in business mix, mainly the increase of Global Corporate's share of the overall book.
- Increase in large losses in 2012 and 2013 is driven by some significant individual large losses in Global Corporate, UK and Germany.
- Moderate increase in large losses reflects changes in business mix and some inherent volatility.
- We are on top of the development and continuously monitor our loss trends, review our underwriting appetite for industry and limits and update our pricing to reflect our desired return on allocated capital.
Energy underwriting guidelines changed in response to Large Losses

Energy as part of Global Underwriting Strategy

- Energy is a focus industry for Zurich, because of its critical relevance to the global economy
- New underwriting strategy implemented in January 2012 and updated in November 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk selection</th>
<th>Terms and conditions</th>
<th>Pricing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Investment in additional Risk Engineering resources</td>
<td>• New attachment points and limits offered based on company size and risk characteristics</td>
<td>• Consistent pricing through two global, certified pricing tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Stricter underwriting posture based on engineering scores</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Regular monitoring of portfolio and account level profitability metrics, incl. tiering execution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Non-renewed USD 130m since Jan 2012 or ~18% of 2011 GWP baseline¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ For the global Energy Property book

5 December 2013
We continue to manage our expenses

**Expense Ratio**
in % of net earned premiums

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mature Markets</th>
<th>International Markets²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other technical expenses³</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissions</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9m-13</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Net non-technical result¹**
in % of net earned premiums

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>9m-13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central costs charged to GI units⁴</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other non-technical expenses</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All numbers calculated at actual rates
¹ Excluding interest expense on debt and other interest
² Includes Australia and Japan
³ Includes premium taxes
⁴ Includes Corporate Center, segment, regional and other central charges to GI units
Key messages

Group Strategy

1. Prioritizing investment in distinctive positions

2. Managing other businesses for value

3. Growing our operating earnings

What does it mean for General Insurance

- Investing in growth in markets where we enjoy clear competitive advantages, while focusing on customer value, data and analytics

- Managing other businesses to achieve hurdle BOPAT ROEs, and turnaround or exit non-performing businesses

-Growing profits, while further strengthening our foundation of technical excellence
Farmers’ business model

Farmers Group, Inc.
- Provides administration and management
  - Underwriting
  - Collect premiums
  - Policy administration
  - Investment management
  - Financial reporting, etc.

Farmers Exchanges
- Bear underwriting risk and handle claims
- Own distribution assets (exclusive sales force, direct call centers, etc.) and brand (including brand investments)

Fees as % of Gross Premiums Earned

Zurich owned

Policyholders owned

---

1 Zurich Insurance Group has no ownership interest in the Farmers Exchanges. Farmers Group, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Zurich, provides management services to the Farmers Exchanges and receives fees for its services.
Key messages

• Farmers is building on its strengths to compete in a rapidly changing market

• Go-to-market strategy is focused on targeting value conscious customers

• Growth opportunity remains in the East and Farmers is gaining traction

• Focus is on improving Farmers Exchanges’ balance sheet
U.S. P&C is a mature market with a 2007-2012 CAGR of 0.5%

- Competition is fierce – USD 6bn spent on advertising, market leaders have largest share of voice
- Having all channels is becoming a necessity
- Auto insurance is in a long-term secular decline as today average annual consumer expenditures in homeowners insurance now exceed auto

**Share of Voice – YTD¹ 2013**

- Geico: 18.4%
- State Farm: 14.6%
- Progressive: 11.6%
- Allstate: 11.3%
- Nationwide: 5.5%
- Liberty Mutual: 3.3%
- eSurance: 3.1%
- Farmers: 3.0%
- Travelers: 0.8%
- 21st Century: 0.4%
- Others: 28.0%

**Unaided Auto/Home Consideration²**

- State Farm: 60%
- Allstate: 40%
- Geico: 30%
- Progressive: 20%
- Farmers: 1.8%
- 21st Century: 0.4%

---

¹ Source: Kantar: Media spend - full month data only available through July 2013
² Source: Hall and Partners: General Market, as of 9m-13
There is a clear delineation between value and price conscious consumers. Both mega segments equal approximately 50% of the market, while value conscious represent approximately 60% of the insurance spend. Farmers assets best match up with customers focused on value, and specifically the confident planner.

**Source:** FDS Demand Landscape (2012); TCG Analysis
**Foundation for Farmers consumer strategy – Omni-channel approach**

### Channel preferences by segment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Strongly prefer agent</th>
<th>No strong preference</th>
<th>Strongly prefer direct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Confident Planners</strong></td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relationship Seekers</strong></td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Loyal Outsourcers</strong></td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do-it-Myselfers</strong></td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Confident Bargain Hunters</strong></td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Avoiders</strong></td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Consumers have no strong channel preference and demand integrated web, after hours calls, and agent capabilities for selling and service
- Farmers adopts omni-channel approach building on its large Exclusive Agents network

Source: FDS Demand Landscape (2012); TCG Analysis
## Farmers strategy

### Cornerstones of Farmers strategy

**PRIORITIZING INVESTMENT IN DISTINCTIVE POSITIONS**
- Focus on targeting value conscious customers
- Improve the customer experience
- Drive eastern expansion
- Focus on a single consumer facing brand

**MANAGING FOR VALUE**
- Restore profitability of underperforming businesses
- Reposition 21st Century Direct

**GROWING OPERATING EARNINGS**
- Further improve Farmers Exchanges and Farmers Management Services expense structures
- Reduce Farmers Exchanges reliance on Quota Share support
Target customer focus, geographic expansion and efficiency to drive profitable Farmers Exchanges growth

**Go-to-market strategy**

- Consumer focus strategy around target customer
  - Products and services that appeal to the preferred segment
  - Customer experience focus driven by Net Promoter Score
  - Omni-Channel Experience
- Expanding East with Exclusive Agents
- More competitive expense structure

**Key initiatives**

- Converge consumer facing brands to a single Farmers brand
- Wrap direct capabilities around Farmers’ agent with Omni channel
- Improve five drivers of customer experience
- Add 6 states to our eastern expansion beginning with Florida and Connecticut
- Reposition non-core Independent Agent businesses for value to improve Farmers Exchanges profitability
Targeting the value conscious consumer

Innovate across the end-to-End Confident Planner experience

- Our target amongst value conscious consumers is Confident Planners which are 17% of U.S. population and 28% of Insurance spend
- Focusing on Confident Planners will allow Farmers to be attractive to the entire spectrum of value buyers
- Farmers’ over indexes in the number of Confident Planners, but under indexes in their spend with Farmers
- Winning here is about innovating across the entire value chain
U.S. Personal Lines is an omni-channel journey

- Majority of insurance shoppers begin on the web, while closing with an agent
- Omni-channel is the seamless integration of channels for sales and service
- Farmers non integrated omni-channel efforts have achieved USD 252m GWP\(^1\) in 9m-13 and USD 760m GWP\(^1\) since 2010 in cross sell and quote not taken opportunities

\(^1\) Includes new business and renewal premiums
Illustration source: Multichannel Marketing, Akin Arikan
Improving customer experience critical to strategy – large growth lever

- Focus on improving the customer experience at Farmers as measured by Net Promoter Score (NPS)
- This is critical to retaining Confident Planners, but it is also a large growth lever as it’s a way to improve retention. This is a large growth opportunity as Farmers lags key competitors.
- NPS is highly correlated to retention. Price is a major component of NPS as the amount you pay colors your perception of value.
- Farmers has identified and will focus on five NPS strategic drivers:
  - Rate stability
  - Customer communication
  - Website satisfaction
  - Agent behavior
  - Claims satisfaction
Digital strategy to improve Net Promoter Score

- Significant work to retool digital experience
  - Measuring digital experience by both NPS and percentage of task accomplishment
- Benefits of investing in digital
  - Direct impact on customer experience and revenue
  - Leverage digital channels to provide an Omni channel experience
  - Lower service costs

2013 Farmers.com Unique Visitors

in thousands
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Eastern Expansion

- Currently in 5 Eastern states with Farmers Agents (PA, NJ, GA, MD & NY)
- Strong results to date in our Eastern Expansion efforts
  - 479 agents and 398 additional licensed producers in these states\(^1\)
  - Topped USD 100m GWP in three years
- Expanding six additional states over next three years
  - Plan to rollout Connecticut and, in a controlled manner, Florida in 2014
  - Followed by two additional states in each year 2015 and 2016

\(^1\) As of November 15, 2013
Repositioning to improve profitability

Over the last two years profitability challenges have been more than weather. Significant repositioning of the non-core Farmers book has created a decline of USD 350m comparing 2013 to 2012:

- Re-established Bristol West as a niche non-standard auto writer
- Discontinuing Farmers branded independent agent business
- Significant rate and underwriting actions to improve profitability of the Business Insurance independent agent channel
Repositioning 21st Century Direct

Farmers repositions 21st Century Direct for several reasons:

- Proprietary consumer research shows there is no direct market, but rather segments that use all channels.
- A significant consideration hill is to climbed with the Farmers’ brand. It’s too expensive to build consideration for two brands aimed at different segments.
- It’s expensive to grow direct given the significant first year cost investment.

21st Century Direct provides a great platform for Farmers to build out our omni-channel approach.
Progress has been made in improving expense structure

- Farmers Exchanges reduced expenses by 3 points since 2011, while at the same time investing in Eastern Expansion, distribution and customer experience initiatives
  - Focused on efficient claims handling with better use of technology
  - Scaled back two brand advertising
- Efforts continue to achieve similar improvement over the next three years adjusted for continued investments in Eastern Expansion
- Expense savings efforts encompass Farmers Management Services and the Farmers Exchanges

LAE and expense ratio improvement

- 2011: LAE 12.0%, Expenses 34.0%
- 2012: LAE 12.2%, Expenses 32.9%
- 9m-13: LAE 10.2%, Expenses 32.9%

1 Before quota share treaties with Farmers Reinsurance Company, Zurich Insurance Company Ltd, and a third counterparty.
2 Expenses include management fees of Farmers Management Services, LAE = Loss adjustment expenses
Strengthening Farmers Exchanges capital will lead to reduced reliance on reinsurance

- Historically, and in accordance with NAIC\(^2\) guidelines Farmers Exchanges surplus has been presented including Farmers Reinsurance Company\(^1\).
- In a day-to-day operating context, the Exchanges’ Capital is managed and regulated on a stand alone basis.
- Capital management framework:
  - Stand alone Surplus Ratio of 33% - 36% in the near term
  - Exchanges NAIC RBC\(^2\) of 325% - 350%
- Quota share reinsurance is an expensive form of capital support for Farmers Exchanges and through Farmer Re add volatility to the Farmers segment results.
- Farmers’ goal is to significantly reduce reliance on quota share reinsurance.

\(^{1}\) Farmers Reinsurance Company is a wholly owned subsidiary of Farmers Group, Inc., not owned by the Farmers Exchanges
\(^{2}\) NAIC: National Association of Insurance Commissioners; RBC: Risk-based Capital
Key messages

- Farmers is building on its strengths to compete in a rapidly changing market
- Go-to-market strategy is focused on targeting value conscious customers
- Growth opportunity remains in the East and Farmers is gaining traction
- Focus is on improving Farmers Exchanges’ balance sheet
What is “Farmers”?  
- Farmers is a brand name beneficially owned by the Farmers Exchanges.
- The “Farmers Exchanges” are Farmers Insurance Exchange, Fire Insurance Exchange, Truck Insurance Exchange and their subsidiaries and affiliates. The three Exchanges are California domiciled interinsurance exchanges owned by their policyholders with governance oversight by their Boards of Governors.
- Farmers Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries are wholly owned subsidiaries of Zurich Insurance Group Ltd. They form part of the “Farmers” reporting segment in Zurich financial statements with the exception of the life operations. Neither Farmers Group, Inc., nor Zurich has any ownership interest in the Farmers Exchanges.

Relationships Among the Farmers Exchanges, Farmers Group, Inc. and Zurich  
- Farmers Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries are appointed as the attorneys-in-fact for the Farmers Exchanges and in that capacity provide certain non-claims administrative and management services to the Farmers Exchanges for a fee.
- Zurich owns Farmers Group, Inc. but does not manage the Farmers Exchanges, and there is no reporting by Farmers Exchange officers, management or personnel to Zurich.

Farmers Exchanges Strategy  
- The Boards of Governors of the Farmers Exchanges approve or ratify Farmers Exchanges financial plans and strategies, rate activity, investment strategies and mergers and acquisitions, among other things.
- References in the Farmers Strategy to financial information about the Farmers Exchanges is proprietary to the Farmers Exchanges, but is provided with the consent of the Farmers Exchanges to support an understanding of the performance of Farmers Group, Inc. and Farmers Reinsurance Company.
Cash and capital

Vibhu Sharma, Interim CFO Zurich Insurance Group
Key messages

- Strong financial position
- Capital flexibility and buffers to absorb volatility
- Operating free capital generation is improving
- Remit > USD 9bn in net cash to the holding company in 2014 - 2016, after central costs, while investing to grow the business

We will continue to pay a sustainable and attractive dividend
Three key factors underpin our dividend

**Balance sheet security**
- Z-ECM\(^2\) ratio at 121\(^%\)\(^1\), SST\(^3\) at 206\(^%\)\(^1\)
- Significant capital buffers absorb volatility
- Unrewarded investment risk is minimized

**Cash and capital flexibility**
- Flexible capital structure enables a high proportion of free capital generated to be remitted to the group
- Policy of pooling risk and capital centrally facilitates efficient capital deployment

**Free capital generation**
- Delivered over 20\(^%\) of market cap in cash remittance to the center over the last 3 years
- Operating free capital generation on track to improve in line with earnings in 2013
We have a very strong balance sheet...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z-ECM&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt; Ratio</th>
<th>SST&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Ratio</th>
<th>Solvency I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121%</td>
<td>206%</td>
<td>261%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As of 1 July 2013</td>
<td>As of 1 July 2013</td>
<td>As of 30 September 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moody's financial leverage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moody's earnings coverage</th>
<th>Financial strength rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>AA range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As of 31 December 2012</td>
<td>As of 31 December 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As of 5 December 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<sup>1</sup> Z-ECM = Internal Economic Capital Model

<sup>2</sup> Swiss Solvency Test
...with low capital volatility coming from investment risks compared to peers... 

**Investment Risk Capital** as percentage of Total Risk Capital

Adjusted to 99.95% confidence level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Zurich</th>
<th>Peer 1</th>
<th>Peer 2</th>
<th>Peer 3</th>
<th>Peer 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key observation**

- ALM/Market risk contribution to total Z-ECM is estimated to be lower than at selected peers

**Primary factors**

- Focus on insurance risk over investment risk
- Managing assets relative to liabilities on a risk adjusted basis
- Relatively small duration gap with both Global Life and GI assets slightly long relative to liabilities

---

1. Asset Liability Matching and Investment Credit Risk Based Capital, including diversification, relative to total Risk Capital; Risk Capital is diversified within Risk types
2. Zurich's own calculation and estimation based on Company Reports combined with internal data analysis
3. Global Peers includes a sample of 4 large global peers

---

© Zurich Insurance Company Ltd
...and an efficient capital structure

**Strategy**

- Manage local subsidiaries to the regulatory minimum plus an adequate buffer for short term volatility
- Pool capital and risk as centrally as possible

**Key aspects**

- Active capital management to minimise timing friction between free capital generation and up streaming to group
- Capital targets set and monitored centrally
- Focus on intra-year dividends
- One key European GI balance sheet
- One key U.S. GI balance sheet
- Use of internal reinsurance
- Significant liquidity asset buffer held centrally
Principles applied to Free Capital Generation

1 Non-operating items are those not firmly defined under local statutory principles. The identification and quantification therefore involves judgement. To the extent possible the definitions used were kept similar to those defined in the IFRS accounts.
How Free Capital Generation translates into cash paid to the centre

Free Capital Generation

Capital retained for redeployment

Timing differences

Regulatory/Other capital movements

Management actions

Cash transferred to the centre
Net Income to Free Capital Generation (2012)

Key Differences

IFRS to local statutory
- GI: Mainly tax loss carry forward in U.S. GI otherwise relatively similar to IFRS
- GL: Mainly intangibles deferred in IFRS
- Farmers, NCB & OOB: similar to IFRS

Capital requirements
- GI & GL capital increases in line with volume growth
- Farmers driven by increase in the quota share in 2012
- NCB capital release following the run-off of reserves
**Free Capital Generation to change in central liquidity (2012)**

- Net increase in central liquidity after all cash flows
- Regulatory restrictions in the U.S. and Europe GI
- Timing differences mainly relate to Switzerland GI and Italy Life
- Net other flows include net funding and excess capital releases
Very strong track record of remitting cash to group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Non-Core Businesses (NCB)</th>
<th>General Insurance (GI)</th>
<th>Global Life (GL)</th>
<th>Other Operating Business (OOB)</th>
<th>Farmers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 FC</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key messages

- 2010 and 2011 benefitted from ZNA\(^1\) surplus note repayments
- 2012 impacted by one-off regulatory capital restrictions in the U.S. and Europe GI, and Germany GI reserve strengthening
- Central liquidity buffers increased in all years presented
- Picture excludes large positive one-off capital projects
- 2013 expected to return to a level more consistent with prior years

\(^1\) Zurich North America
\(^2\) Estimated remittances, subject to change.
### CASH AND CAPITAL

**Growing free capital generation underpins new remittance target**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levers</th>
<th>Key aspects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Profitability</strong></td>
<td>• BOPAT ROE 12 - 14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Grow operating profits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Growth</strong></td>
<td>• Allocate capital efficiently to products and balance sheets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital investment</strong></td>
<td>• Prioritise investments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Stop investing in non-performing business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acquisition costs</strong></td>
<td>• Manage business mix to reduce strain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Legal entity structure</strong></td>
<td>• Continue to streamline the Group’s legal entity structure to minimise the frictional cost of capital</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key messages

- Strong financial position
- Capital flexibility and buffers to absorb volatility
- Operating free capital generation is improving
- Remit > USD 9bn in net cash to the holding company in 2014 - 2016, after central costs, while investing to grow the business

We will continue to pay a sustainable and attractive dividend
Closing Remarks

Martin Senn, CEO Zurich Insurance Group
Cornerstones of our strategy

1. Prioritizing investment in distinctive positions
   - Corporate
   - Commercial Mid-Market
   - Select retail

2. Managing other businesses for value
   - Extract value from Global Life back books
   - Continue to capture value from profitable, smaller General Insurance markets
   - Turnaround/exit non-performing businesses

3. Growing our operating earnings
   - Efficiency
   - Value extraction
   - Investment risk return
Disclaimer and cautionary statement

Certain statements in this document are forward-looking statements, including, but not limited to, statements that are predictions of or indicate future events, trends, plans or objectives of Zurich Insurance Group Ltd or the Zurich Insurance Group (the “Group”). Forward-looking statements include statements regarding the Group’s targeted profit, return on equity targets, expenses, pricing conditions, dividend policy and underwriting and claims results, as well as statements regarding the Group’s understanding of general economic, financial and insurance market conditions and expected developments. Undue reliance should not be placed on such statements because, by their nature, they are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties and can be affected by other factors that could cause actual results and plans and objectives of Zurich Insurance Group Ltd or the Group to differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward looking statements (or from past results). Factors such as (i) general economic conditions and competitive factors, particularly in key markets; (ii) the risk of a global economic downturn, in the financial services industries in particular; (iii) performance of financial markets; (iv) levels of interest rates and currency exchange rates; (v) frequency, severity and development of insured claims events; (vi) mortality and morbidity experience; (vii) policy renewal and lapse rates; and (viii) changes in laws and regulations and in the policies of regulators may have a direct bearing on the results of operations of Zurich Insurance Group Ltd and its Group and on whether the targets will be achieved. Zurich Insurance Group Ltd undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any of these forward-looking statements, whether to reflect new information, future events or circumstances or otherwise.

All references to “Farmers Exchanges” mean Farmers Insurance Exchange, Fire Insurance Exchange, Truck Insurance Exchange and their subsidiaries and affiliates. The three Exchanges are California domiciled interinsurance exchanges owned by their policyholders with governance oversight by their Boards of Governors. Farmers Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries are appointed as the attorneys-in-fact for the Farmers Exchanges and in that capacity provide certain non-claims administrative and management services to the Farmers Exchanges. Neither Farmers Group, Inc., nor its parent companies, Zurich Insurance Company Ltd and Zurich Insurance Group Ltd, have any ownership interest in the Farmers Exchanges. Financial information about the Farmers Exchanges is proprietary to the Farmers Exchanges, but is provided to support an understanding of the performance of Farmers Group, Inc. and Farmers Reinsurance Company.

It should be noted that past performance is not a guide to future performance. Please note that interim results are not necessarily indicative of full year results.

Persons requiring advice should consult an independent adviser.

This communication does not constitute an offer or an invitation for the sale or purchase of securities in any jurisdiction.

THIS COMMUNICATION DOES NOT CONTAIN AN OFFER OF SECURITIES FOR SALE IN THE UNITED STATES; SECURITIES MAY NOT BE OFFERED OR SOLD IN THE UNITED STATES ABSENT REGISTRATION OR EXEMPTION FROM REGISTRATION, AND ANY PUBLIC OFFERING OF SECURITIES TO BE MADE IN THE UNITED STATES WILL BE MADE BY MEANS OF A PROSPECTUS THAT MAY BE OBTAINED FROM THE ISSUER AND THAT WILL CONTAIN DETAILED INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANY AND MANAGEMENT, AS WELL AS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.